[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted] GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARI[redacted]

[redacted]

Part B for April 43

[redacted]

SUBJECT

erection of the Establishment

Dramatic party

Revision of the establishment of K Krishnarajapet Municipality

6820/2

Continuation Sheet M/ File No / Current No of 42 Page

From — The Dy C Mandya Dt

No A5 129/40-41 dt 9-2-43

Requests sanction for the revision of establishment of the K R Pet Municipality, as proposed therein

Whereas Hosaholalu village was included in the limits of K Krishnarajapet Municipality in Dec 1939 the Dy C Mandya states that the establishment for which sanction is now sought for was appointed temporarily for one year and is being continued till now Comparative statement showing the former establishment and the proposed establishment is given below

| (A) Prior to 1939-40 Rs | Proposed establishment Rs |
|---|---|
| 1 Clerk on 20-1-25 | 1 Clerk on 25-1-30-1-40 |
| 1 Daffedar on Rs 12/- P M | 1 Daffedar on Rs 12/- P M |
| | 1 Office Peon on Rs 8/- P M |
| 1 Bill Collector on Rs 15-1-20 | 2 Bill Collectors each on 15-1-20 |
| | 2 Octroi Kutsadalis each on Rs 10/- |
| | 3 Octroi Peons each on Rs 8/- P M |
| 3 Sweepers on Rs 9/- each P M. | 6 Sweepers on Rs 9/- each P M |
| 3 Sweepers on Rs 7/- each P M | 5 |
| 1 Scavenger on Rs 9/- P M | 1 Scavenger on Rs 9/- P M |

Net average increase in the cost would be Rs 123/- P M.

The Dy C states that an increase in the Clerks Grade is proposed to facilitate easy exchange of experienced officials from the Rev Dept. No reason is given for the appointment of an extra Peon

Revision of establishment in Kothamangalam Mfg

Continuation Sheet — File No / Current No 4822/1 — of 6 — Page

3) The appointment of another Bill collector proposed for collection work in the Hosakotala division. It is stated that there are heavy V P arrears in that area which are to be collected in addition to the MI taxes

4) Regarding the Octroi establishment it is not known whether any proposal is under consideration for the inclusion of Hosakotala in the MI limits of K R Pet, especially as now proposed and whether the proposed staff is not rather heavy for the revenue derived from that source. The total cost works out to Rs 6480/- per year against an income of Rs 1700/-

5) The proposal includes an increase of 5 sweepers in the Conservancy establishment, which is perhaps necessary in view of the increase in extent

6) For orders

7) I note the financial condition of the MI for the last three years

8) The Dy's review on the Budget Estimates for the MI for 1962-1963 is put up. It is seen therefrom that the closing balance is far below the minimum and the financial position is far from satisfactory. The arrears are very heavy and the MI Cl

have utilised a major portion of their investments (vide para 17 & 19 of the review) Lft. is therefore for consideration whether the Mdg will be able to meet the increased establishment charges (by 123/- P.M. in excess of the present cost) with the unsatisfactory financial position.

1) Adjt. enquiring to DC manager
2) Reply above
3) Reply may be put up
4) Reply
5) Reply
6) Reply
7) Reply
8) Reply
9) Reply
10) Off letter is put up
11) To speak before me
Landegg 3/8/43
12) Cus 5-3-44
13) Issue 4/8/43

TYPI... 5 MAR 1943 GEN & I...

No 11 Dist Collr, Mandya dt 1st & 8th 965 dt 6-3-43
Calls for information in connection

From The Dist Collr Mandya
To No. AS 149/140-41 dt 12-3-43
Sir, With reference, the information called for in the 2nd para of his previous letter dt 9-2-43, the DC Mandya has forwarded proposals for the revision of establishment in the Krishnarajapet Taluk, as shown in para 1 & 2 of the note in the 3rd page of the letter 3rd cited. In this connection as to how the extra cost amounting to Rs 123/- p.m. on account of the proposed revisions would be met by

+820 Revision of establishment in the
5592 Krishnarajpet taluq,

Continuation Sheet 2 File No / Current No of *Page

taluk cl, was called for and the D. C stated that provision is being made therefor from the time the Hosahobli also village panchayet was included within the Krishnarajpet taluq and therefore ~~that~~ no separate provision is required to meet the existing cost

15) ~~And therefore A~~ The D C, therefore, requests that the original proposal may be sanctioned.

Submitted for orders (reference para 11 + 12 above)

Sd 23/3/43

16) The action taken in having continued the temporary establishments during Fasli 1933 viz, 40-41 villages in the Krishnarajpet Division taluq may be approved, in the cases referred

17) The proposal to place the taluq establishments on a permanent basis may also be approved

1 1 clerk on Rs 25-1-30-1-40
2 1 duffedar Rs 12½ p m
3 1 office peon — Rs 8½ p m
4 Two bill collectors ~~= 15-1-20~~ each p m
5 Two acting mustadis on Rs 10 each p m
6 Three acting peons on Rs 8 each p m
7 Six ~~four~~ sepoys on Rs 9 each p m
8 Five sweepers on Rs 7 each p m
9 One scavenger on Rs 9 p m

WD 1140—GPB—50 000—5-11-42

Revision of establishment Kushmanjhet 1943

Continuation Sheet File No / Current No 197 of 1943 Page 5

OFFICE OF THE WATER FOR LEGAL PH... Date 25 3 43 No 7228

11367 / 1943

(b) The limits of the Kushmanjhet Municipality have been extended, by the addition of the Hospital Area village Panchayat in the year 1935. Hence the proposal to increase the Municipal & Conservancy establishments is an urgent one & be approved.

Lawley Jan 24/3/43

(c) As Boro Kalan has included within Municipal limits additional establishment has become necessary & may be sanctioned as recommended by the D.C.

Mukerji SG

Accs 24-3-43 Jan 24/3/43 Vtg 29 3 43 Accs 30-3-43 Dft typed Jan 31/3/43

Issued 1/4/43

Typing Sec 15 APR 1943

Memo GS 1314/43

To the D.C. Alandya
" Comptroller Rev - Camp
Lo 20 L 1293-5, 8-4-43
Sanctions the enclosed

4820

**GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE**

Tel g am ph

OFFICE OF Mel 27-4576

No A5 129/40-41
Encls 3

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District,
M a n d y a

Date 9th February 1943

To

C Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq , B A , B L ,
Secretary to Government, Law Department
B a n g a l o r e

GEN & R I SECRETT
17 FEB 1943
G BRAN

Sir

Subject:- Revision of the establishment in Krishnarajapet Municipality

In forwarding herewith a proposition statement and the resolution of the Municipal council received from the President, Krishnarajapet Municipality through the Sub Division Officer, Frenchrocks, Sub Division, with his memo No A1 385, dated 22-1-1943, on the above subject, I have the honour to state as follows -

As per C O NO L 5639-/M1 102-39-7 dated 18-12-39, the Hosaholalu village panchayet was amalgamated with the Krishnarajapet Municipality from that time the Municipal council is incurring extra expenditure over the establishment required for the collection of Mohatarfa and Octroi The establishment sanctioned by Government for the Krishnarajapet Municipality prior to the inclusion of -Hosahalalu was as detailed in the margin As the permanent establishment was quite insufficient, the Municipal council proposed to increase the establishment as shown in the proposition statement The increased establishment was sanctioned temporarily for one year As the matter was under correspondence, sanction of Government could not be obtained for the continuance of the establishment during 40-41 and 41-42 However, necessary provision for the continuance of the establishment was being sanctioned year after year Now the Municipal Council propose to make the establishment permanent

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 Clerk on | Rs20-1-25 | 0 |
| 1 Daffedar on | Rs12/-P M | 0 |
| 3 Sweepers on | Rs 9/- Each P M | 0 |
| 3 " " | Rs 7/- " | 0 |
| 1 (Seavager) on | Rs 9/- P M | 0 |
| 1 Bill Collector on | Rs 15-1-20 | 0 |

2 It is seen from the proposition statement that the Municipal council having an average income of Rs 10,000/- per annum propose to appoint a clerk on Rs 25-1-30-~~40~~ 1-40 This may kindly be sanctioned as it facilitates easy exchange of experienced officials from the Municipality and the Revenue Department The extra peon on Rs 8/- P M proposed by the Municipal Council may be retained

3 As ~~x~~ regards the Bill collectors, it is very necessary to appoint one full time Bill Collector for Hosahalalu Division as the arrears of the village panchayet transferred to the Municipality are heavy and have to be collected in addition to the Municipal ~~xxxx~~ Taxes

4 The proposal of the Municipal ~~xx~~ council to have 3 Octroi ~~Mxxx~~ Mutsaddis and 3 peons seems to be not quite acceptable as the average income under this head works out at only Rs 1,700/- Hence one Octroi Mutsaddi's post on Rs 10 P M may be deleted

5 As regards the conservancy establishment, the proposal of the Municipal~~ixx~~ Council to increase the number of sweepers and

P T O

Of sweepers and scavenger seems to be quite necessary in view of the fact that both Hosahalalu and Krishnarajapet divisions have to be kept quite clean and that the permanent staff is quite insufficient to cope up with the work

6 As necessary provision has been made and sanctioned in the current year's budget and as the extra establishment is being continued from 39-40, I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to the proposed establishment as in the margin on a permanent basis

| | |
|---|---|
| I Office Establishment | |
| 1 Clerk on 25-1-40 P M | |
| one 2, Daffedar on s 12/- P M | |
| 1 office peon on Rs 8/- P M | |
| II Mohatarfa Collections | |
| 2 Bill Collectors on s 15-1-20 P M | |
| III Octroi Establishment | |
| 2 Octroi Mutsaddis on Rs 10 each P M | |
| 3 Octroi Peons on Rs 8/- each | |
| IV Conservancy Establishment | |
| 6 Sweepers on Rs 9/- each P M | |
| 5 - -" " Rs 7/- each | |
| 1 scavengar on Rs 9/- P.M | |

To

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MANDYA DISTRICT

SC

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT Revision of the establishment in Krishnarajapet Municipality

Section Rev
File No 127-42
Serial No 17

*Diary No of letter replied to*

No L 8965

To

The Dy. Municipal Commr

Sir,

With ref: to your letter No A5 129/40-41 dt 9-2-43 on the above subject, I am directed to invite reference to paras 17 to 19 of your proceedings on the Budget estimates of the Krishnarajapet Municipality for the year 1942-43 forwarded with your office letter No A5 Per A 43-107 41-42 dt 1-7-42 and to request you to be so good as to state as to how the M[illegible] will be able to meet the increased establishment

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *194*

Date of issue 6/3

Signed by M Y G R Rao

List of enclosures

Codied by

Examined by

WD 04161—GPB—60 000—24 10 41

amtg to Rs 1287-p 11 in excess of Mr present
charges for the present unsatisfactory
financial position

I have etc,

Jno

Jr Secretary pro tem

Law Dept.

No A5 129/40-41

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District,
Dated, Mandya, the 12th March 43

From

Mir Safdar Hussain, Esq B A ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District, Mandya.

To

C Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq , B A , B L ,
Secretary to Government, Law Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

Subject - Revision of the establishment in Krishnarajpet Municipality

With reference to your letter No L 8965/ML dated 6-3-43 enquiring as to how the extra cost of Rs 123/- per month would be met on account of the revision of the establishment in Krishnarajpet Municipality, I have the honour to state that the staff mentioned in the proposition statement is working ever since Hosaholalu village Panchayet was included within Krishnarajpet Municipality and provision is being made in the budget sanctioned year after year During the current year also the provision required for the establishment mentioned in the proposition statement is made and sanctioned byme Hence, no extra amount is required to meet the establishment charges

As the establishment sanctioned prior to the inclusion of Hosaholalu within Krishnarajpet Municipal limits was found insuf-

P T O

insufficient to manage the work in the town as extended, extra establishment was entertained on a temporary basis Sanction of Government could not be obtained early as the matter was under correspondence Sanction of Government is now sought to regularise the action in having continued the establishment for more than one year

In the circumstances, I request you kindly to obtain sanction of Government to the revision of establishment as already proposed

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner Cabinet
Mandya District

MSS

G R. S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

*Diary No of letter replied to*

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *19*

Date of issue 16/4 19

Signed by M. G R Rao

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(ii) published in the Gazette Engli h Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the Press?

(v) published in the Gazette Kannada Part?

To as

Section Rel

File No 127-42

Serial No 26

*Proceedings of the Governm nt of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

*Read*—

~~Govt~~ Notification No- L5639 Rel 102-39-7 dated 18th December 1939 extending the municipal limits of Krishnarajpet town to include the Village Panchayet of Hosaholalu Village Mandya ~~Taluk~~ District.

~~Order thereon.— No~~

Correspondence ending with letter No- A5-129/40-41 dated 12th March 1943 from the Dy Commr Mandya District submitting the proposal for revision of the establishment of the Krishnarajpet Municipality

Order No 2938 dy

The Dy Commr, Mandya dt states that, with the extension of the limits of the Municipality as ordered in the G.O. read above the existing permanent establishment of the Municipality which was sanctioned prior to the inclusion of the Hosaholalu village, was found quite insufficient to allow to the Sanitation of Collection Moharfa and Octroi works of the added village which was much in arrears and a temporary establishment was accordingly sanctioned during the year 1939-40 and it was continued from year to year upto 41-42; the State that the Municipal Council have resolved to revise the existing establishment as shown in the proposition statement in which it is proposed to appoint a

× Vide marginal notes in the

1 Clerk on Rs 20 1 25
1 Daffedar on " 12 p.m
3 Sweepers on " 9 per
3 " " " 7 " "
1 Scavenger " 9 "
1 Bill Collector " 15 1 20 p.m

*List of Enclosures*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

WD 03C9C—GPB—30 000 2 2 40

G R S App F G 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form*

a Clerk on Rs 25-1 30-1-40 vacated, in place of the present clerk on Rs 20-1-25 to facilitate easy transfers of Menial ~~exchange of experienced hands~~ from the other offices and the Revenue Office and two full time bill Collectors for the Household Division. Other proposals, viz the appointment of 2 Octroi Mutasaddis and three peons, 11 Sweepers (four Rs 9/- each p.m. and 5 on Rs 7/- each p.m, and one Scavenger on Rs 9/- p.m. He therefore recommends ~~that the proposals for Revision~~ the establishment may be sanctioned as detailed hereunder:-

in consultation with the Mill Asst

I Office establishment

1 Clerk on Rs 25-1 40 p.m.
1 Daffedar on Rs 12/- p.m.
1 Office peon on Rs 8/- p.m.

II Mohatarfa Collectors

2 bill Collectors on Rs 15 | 20



WD 0186—G B—15 000 -6 9 34

III Octroi establishment

2 Octroi Mutasaddis on Rs 10/- each per ✓

3 Octroi peons on Rs 8/ " " ✓

IV Conservancy Estt

6 Sweepers on Rs 9/ each per ✓

5 " " " 7/- " " ✓

1 Scavenger " " 9/ " " ✓

The petition states that as this establishment has been working ever since the inclusion of Mosakholahu village within the limits of the Municipality many applications sanctioned by them there will be no extra cost to Govt and requests that with a view to regularize the temporary establishment entertained during these years, sanction may be accorded for the period and also for the continuance of the said Establishment on a permanent basis, the entire additional cost Rs 12/- p.a. having been provided for in the budget of the current year - Municipality for the current year

In the circs Govt are pleased to

G P S App F G 3

CENTRAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

*(Continuation sheet draft form)*

above
Section the Proposals of
the Dy Comm Mandalay

Law Secy

To the Dy Comm, Mandalay
" Comptroller ~~Thro' DC Depot~~
" Dy Comm Rev Comm

WD 03603—GPB—1 000—8-7 35

III Octroi establishment

2 Octroi Mutasaddis on Rs 10/- each per ✓

3 Octroi peons on Rs 8/ " " ✓

IV Conservancy Estt

6 Sweepers on Rs 9/ each per ✓

5 " " " 7/- " " ✓

1 Scavenger " " 9/ " " ✓

The position stated that as this establishment has been working ever since the inclusion of Mosakholahu village in the limits of the Municipality there will be no extra cost to Govt and requests that with a view to regularize the temporary establishment entertained during these years, sanction may be accorded for the period and also for the continuance of the said Establishment on a permanent basis, the entire additional cost Rs 1287- p.a. having been provided for in the budget of the current year

- Now application for the current year in the forms Govt are pleased to

G P S App F G 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

*(Continuation sheet draft form)*

above
Section the Proposals of
the Dy Commr Mandsaur

Law Secy

To the Dy Commr, Mandsaur
" Comptroller ~~Hoshangabad~~
" Dy Commr Rev Commr

WD 03603—GPB—1 000—8-7 35

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

R I D

otification No 5039/ 1 102-39-7, dated t e 18th December 1939 extending the limits of the Krishnarajpet inor Municipality so as to include the illage Panchayet of Kosaholalu village

2 Correspondence ending with letter o 45-129/40-41, dated the 12th arch 1943 from the Deputy Commissioner, andya District submitting proposals for the revision of the establishment of the Krishnarajpet inor Mu icipal Council

** ***

Order No L10293-5 ✓

Dated, Bangalore, the 16th April 1943

The Deputy Commissioner, andya District, states that, with the extension of the limits of the Krishnarajpet i or municipality as ordered in the Notification read above, the permanent establishment as noted in the margin which the unicipal Council had prior to the inclusion of the Kosaholalu Village was found to be quite insufficient to attend to the work of sanitation and of collecting Chatarfa and Octroi A temporary establishment was accordingly sanctioned during the year 1939-40 and it was continued from year to year up to 1941-42 He has therefore recommended in consultation with the Municipal Council that the establishment as detailed in the margin may be sanctioned e further states that, as this establishment has been working as sanctioned by him eversince the inclusion of the Kosaholalu village within t e limits of Krishnarajpet unicipality, there will be no extra cost to Government and requests that with a view to regularise the temporary establishment entertained during these years, sanction may be accorded for the period and also for the continuance

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 Clerk on Rs 20-1-25 | |
| 1 Daffedar on Rs 12/- P | |
| 3 Sweepers on Rs 9/- each P | |
| 3 -do- or Rs 7/- -do- | |
| 1 Scavenger on Rs 9/- P | |
| 1 Bill Collector on Rs 15-1-20 | |

| | |
|---|---|
| I Office Establishment | |
| 1 Clerk on Rs 25-1-40 | |
| 1 Daffedar on Rs 12/- | |
| 1 Office Peon on Rs 8/- | |
| II Chatarfa Collections | |
| 2 Bill Collectors on Rs 15-1-20 each P | |
| III Octroi Establishment | |
| 2 Octroi Mutsaddis on Rs 10/-each P | |
| 3 -do- Peons or Rs 8/- -do- | |
| IV Conservancy Establishment | |
| 6 Sweepers on Rs 9/- each P | |
| 5 -do- do- Rs 7/- -do- | |
| 1 Scavenger on Rs 9/- P | |

continuance of the said establishment on a permanent basis, the entire cost having been provided for in the budget of the Municipality for the current year In the circumstances Government are pleased to sanction the above proposals of the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District

Ramachandra
For Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department

To -

The Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

TS/4

No A5 129/40-41

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District,
Dated, Mandya, 16th December 194

To

The Sub Division Officer,
French Rocks SubDivision,
F R E N C H R O C K S

In forwarding herewith report No H C 178/39-40 dated 1-12-42 from the President K R Pet Municipality Re the revision of establishment, has the honour to observe that the expenditure incurred on the establishment as noted in the resolution dated 29-7-41 comes to Rs 3108 as against a normal income of Rs 8,895/- The percentage of expenditure comes to nearly 35% The expenditure seems to be high and requires to be ~~audited~~ The undermentioned posts seem to be unnecessary

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | One Octroi Manegar On | Rs 10/P M | | 120/- |
| 2 | " " Peon | Rs 8/- | " | 96/- |
| 3 | " Office Peon | Rs 8/- | " | 96/- |
| 4 | " Lighting peon | Rs 8/- | " | 96/- |
| 5 | " Conservancy Daffedar | Rs 11/- | " | 132/- |
| | | Total | Rs | 540 |

The expenditure could thus be redueed by Rs 540/-. The post of an office peon seems to be unnecessary as there is Daffedar on Rs 11/-P M In view of the fact that an Assistant Sanitary Inspector is to be appointed The post a conservancy Daffedar may not be necessary Why there should be 3 Octroi Manegars and 3 peons whether one Manegar and one peon for K R Pet and Hosaholalu resly will not be quite sufficient in view of the low income of Rs 1700/- under this head As K R Pet is provided with electric lights, there does not seem to be any necessity for 2 lightning peons It may be reduced by one It is seen from his report that the conservancy Daffedar and 2 lighting peons have not been entertained If the e is no necessity, they need not be included in the proposition statement As regards the Bill Collector at Hosaholalu, the post may be retained and one of the octroi Manegar's post be reduced

The S D O is requested to examine this question in consultation with the President and to submit the papers with his remarks

SC

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MANDYA DISTRICT

HC No 178/39-40
Enclosures Reports

[illegible] 15th-1-43 [illegible]

Adjourned Meeting dt 16-1-43

President – Mr S R Ponnaiah Esq B A M Chan

Members

present

Absent

x x x

18 [illegible]

[illegible]

1 Clerk on Rs 25-1-40
1 Daffedar on Rs 12/-
1 Office peon on Rs 8/-
2 Bill Collectors on Rs 15-1-20
3 Octroi Mutsaddies on Rs 10 each
(out of 3, one does the duty of a Conservancy-daffedar)
3 Octroi peons on Rs 8/- each
7 Sweepers on Rs 9/- each
5 Female Sweepers on Rs 7 each

[illegible]

x x x

x x x

" Copy

President

Sd S R Ponnaiah
President
16 1 43

GEN & REV DEPT
10 JLY 19
SECT

No A 5 438/41-42

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mandya District
Dated, Mandya, the 6th July 1942

From

C M H Ranajodha Singh Esq , B A ,
Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District

To

A Sundararaja Rao Esq , B A , B L ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department, B a n g a l o r e

SECY LAW DEPT
JLY 19
GOVT O MYSORE

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of review on the Budget Estimates of Krishnarajpet Minor Municipality for the year 1942-43 for favour of information

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District

T M C

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

T | s am / ph

OFFICE OF
THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MANDYA DISTRICT,
MANDYA

No A5 Per A 43-10/41-42

Encls

Date the 1st JULY 1942

To

Sunder Raja Rao Esq , B A ,B L ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department, BANGALORE

SECY LAW DEPT
2 JLY 1942
GOVT OF MYSORE

Sir

I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of my review on the Budget Estimates of Belakavadi Minor Municipality for the year 1942-43, for favour of information

Subd for perusal
Pl keep it for refce

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MANDYA DISTRICT.

REVIEW on the Budget Estimates of Krishnarajpet Municipality for the year 1 9 4 2 - 4 3

-ooo-

Recorded with the following observations:-

Receipts:-

The Municipal Council anticipate an income of Rs 13,495/- for 42-43 as against an income of Rs 12,102/- and Rs 8,152/- under sanctioned a n d revised estimates respectively for 1941-42

2 Under 'Taxes on Buildings', a sum of Rs 7000/- is anticipated as against an income of Rs 3456/- under revised estimate for 41-42 It is explained that more collection is expected as it is proposed to appoint an Assistant Sanitary Inspector Even with that appointment, the anticipated income may not be realised Hence it may be reduced to Rs 5,000/-

3 Under 'C 3 - Conservancy Receipts' a sum of Rs 200/- is anticipated for 1941-42 It is explained that as it is proposed to levy Sanitary Cess, that income is anticipated As this is a fresh levy requiring sanction of Government after all t h e formalities for the levy of tax are gone through the anticipated income may not be realised The same is deleted for the present After the sanction of Govt , for the levy of the tax is received and the levy is commenced, the realisations may be included while preparing the revised estimates

4 A sum of Rs 100/- is anticipated for 42-43 under 'Income from Slaughter House' As the Council propose to construct a Slaughter House during the current year, the income cannot be expected to be realised unless the construction is completed Hence it is deleted

5 Under 'D Grants from Government' for Sanitary Purposes', a sum of Rs 2000/- is anticipated Pending distribution of Town Improvement Grants for 42-43, the income anticipated is deleted

6 The other items of receipts proposed by the Council are retained

7 Expenditure -

The Municipal Council have provided Rs 12,905/- towards expenditure for 1942-43 as against an expenditure of Rs 8903/- under revised estimates for 1941-42

8 Under A II Octroi 4-Refunds, a sum of Rs 250/- is provided for 42-43 No explanation is offered for the provision Hence it is deleted.

9 Under 'B Public Safety (b) Other Charges', a sum of Rs 200/- is provided It is explained that the provision is made in connection with A R P Measures This may be limited to Rs 100/- as the financial condition does not admit of more expenditure

10 Under 'D Public Works - Original' asum of Rs 3500/- is provided Under receipts side, the grant of Rs 2000/- anticipated has been deleted The expenditure under original works may therefore be limited to Rs 1500/-

11 Under Tools and Plants, a sum of Rs 1000/- is provided As the present financial position does not admit of such heavy expenditure, the same is reduced to Rs 500/-

12 Under E 2 - Conservancy, a provision of Rs 1536/- is made as against an expenditure of Rs 1156/- under revised estimate for 1941-42 It is explained that the Municipal Council propose to entertain one Assistant Sanitary Inspector on Rs 25/- + 5/- per mensem and one extra sweeper The provision is retained subject to the condition that sanction of competent authority would be obtained before the expenditure on the extra staff is incurred

13 Under E 2 - d- Plague and Cholera Charges, a sum of Rs 200/- is provided for 42-43 It is explained that the provision is made for CYNOGASING work Since it is intimated by the Director of Public Health that the Cynogas cannot be supplied, the provision made may not be required Hence it is reduced to Rs 100/-

14 The sum of Rs 100/- provided towards 'First Aid and A R P Measures' is deleted as separate provision is made under 'B - Public Safety'

15 A sum of Rs 500/- is provided under 'H Miscellaneous' towards the cost of a Radio Set is deleted as the expenditure cannot be incurred in the present financial condition

16 The other items of expenditure are retained

With the above modifications, the financial position will be as follows:-

| | |
|---|---|
| Opening balance | 731/- |
| Receipts for 1942-43 | 9395/- |
| Total | 10126/- |
| Expenditure for 42-43 | 9355/- |
| Closing balance | 771/- |

17 The normal expenditure is within normal income but the closing balance is far below the minimum

The Municipal Council have utilised a major portion of their investment and at present have only Rs 437-11-6

18 The arrears under Mohatarfa are very heavy and it is necessary that more earnest attempts should be made to reduce the same substantially

19 The financial position is far from satisfactory The Municipal Council should find out ways and means to improve their resources as otherwise they will not be in a position to undertake any works They should

see that a major portion of the arrears are collected

20 With the above modifications, the budget for 42-43 is sanctioned

Sd C M H Ranajodha Singh

Deputy Commissioner, MANDYA

T M C

'COPY'

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District